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## Squares

## Consider the sequence

$$
2,5,8,11, \ldots
$$

## Can it contain any squares?

$$
\text { - No, because } x^{2} \not \equiv 2 \bmod 3 \text { for any } x \text {. }
$$
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## Quadratic residues and non-residues

Let $n$ be a positive integer. For $q \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$, we call $q$ a quadratic residue $\bmod n$ if there exists an integer $x$ such that $x^{2} \equiv q(\bmod n)$. Otherwise we call $q$ a quadratic non-residue.

- For $n=3$, the quadratic residues are $\{0,1\}$ and the quadratic non-residue is 2.
- For $n=5$, the quadratic residues are $\{0,1,4\}$ and the quadratic non-residues are $\{2,3\}$.
- For $n=7$, the quadratic residues are $\{0,1,2,4\}$ and the quadratic non-residues are $\{3,5,6\}$.
- For $n=p$, an odd prime, there are $\frac{p+1}{2}$ quadratic residues and $\frac{p-1}{2}$ quadratic non-residues.


## Least quadratic non-residue

How big can the least quadratic non-residue be?
Let $g(p)$ be the least quadratic non-residue modulo $p$.

| $p$ | Least quadratic non-residue |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 |
| 5 | 2 |
| 7 | 3 |
| 11 | 2 |
| 13 | 2 |
| 17 | 3 |
| 19 | 2 |
| 23 | 5 |
| 29 | 2 |
| 31 | 3 |


| $p$ | Least quadratic non-residue |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 3 |
| 23 | 5 |
| 71 | 7 |
| 311 | 11 |
| 479 | 13 |
| 1559 | 17 |
| 5711 | 19 |
| 10559 | 23 |
| 18191 | 29 |
| 31391 | 31 |
| 422231 | 37 |
| 701399 | 41 |
| 366791 | 43 |
| 3818929 | 47 |

## Heuristics

Let $g(p)$ be the least quadratic non-residue $\bmod p$. Let $p_{i}$ be the $i$-th prime, i.e, $p_{1}=2, p_{2}=3, \ldots$.


- $\#\left\{p \leq x \mid g(p)=p_{k}\right\} \approx \frac{\pi(x)}{2^{k}}$
- If $k=\log \pi(x) / \log 2$ you would expect only one prime satisfying $g(p)=p_{k}$.
- Choosing $k \approx C \log x$, since $p_{k} \sim k \log k$ we have $g(x) \leq C \log x \log \log x$.
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## Theorems on the least quadratic non-residue $\bmod p$

Let $g(p)$ be the least quadratic non-residue $\bmod p$. Our conjecture is

$$
g(p)=O(\log p \log \log p) .
$$

- Under GRH, Bach showed $g(p) \leq 2 \log ^{2} p$. Soundararajan, Lamzouri and Li improved this to $g(p) \leq \log ^{2} p$.
- Unconditionally, Burgess showed $g(p)$
- $\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{e}} \approx 0.151633$.
- In the lower bound direction, Graham and Ringrose proved that there are infinitely many $p$ satisfying $g(p) \geqslant \log p \log \log \log p$, that is
$g(p)=\Omega(\log p \log \log \log p)$.
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## Explicit estimates on the least quadratic non-residue $\bmod p$

Norton showed

$$
g(p) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
3.9 p^{1 / 4} \log p & \text { if } p \equiv 1 & (\bmod 4) \\
4.7 p^{1 / 4} \log p & \text { if } p \equiv 3 & (\bmod 4)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem (ET 2015)
Let $p>3$ be a prime. Let $g(p)$ be the least quadratic
non-residue modp. Then
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## Theorem (ET 2015)

Let $p>3$ be a prime. Let $g(p)$ be the least quadratic non-residue modp. Then

$$
g(p) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0.9 p^{1 / 4} \log p & \text { if } p \equiv 1 & (\bmod 4) \\
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\end{array}\right.
$$

## Theorem (Burgess 1962)

Let $g(p)$ be the least quadratic non-residue $\bmod p$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. There exists $p_{0}$ such that for all primes $p \geq p_{0}$ we have $g(p)<p^{\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{e}}+\varepsilon}$.

## Theorem (ET 2015)

Let $g(p)$ be the least quadratic non-residue $\bmod p$. Let $p$ be a prime greater than $10^{4732}$, then $g(p)<p^{1 / 6}$.

## Consecutive quadratic residues or non-quadratic residues

Let $H(p)$ be the largest string of consecutive nonzero quadratic residues or quadratic non-residues modulo $p$.
For example, with $p=7$ we have that the nonzero quadratic residues are $\{1,2,4\}$ and the quadratic non-residues are $\{3,5,6\}$. Therefore $H(7)=2$.

| $p$ | $H(p)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 3 |
| 13 | 4 |
| 17 | 3 |
| 19 | 4 |
| 23 | 4 |
| 29 | 4 |
| 31 | 4 |

Burgess proved in 1963 that $H(p) \leq C p^{1 / 4} \log p$.

| Mathematician | Year | C | Restriction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Norton* $^{*}$ | 1973 | 2.5 | $p>e^{15}$ |
| Norton* | 1973 | 4.1 | None |
| Preobrazhenskaya | 2009 | $1.85 \ldots+o(1)$ | Not explicit |
| McGown | 2012 | 7.06 | $p>5 \cdot 10^{18}$ |
| McGown | 2012 | 7 | $p>5 \cdot 10^{55}$ |
| ET | 2012 | $1.495 \ldots+0(1)$ | Not explicit |
| ET | 2012 | 1.55 | $p>10^{13}$ |
| ET | 2012 | 3.64 | None |

*Norton didn't provide a proof for his claim.

## Quadratic fields and inert primes

- Let $d$ be a squarefree integer.
- Then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is a quadratic field.
- A prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is inert if it remains prime when it is lifted to the quadratic field.
- For example $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})=\mathbb{Q}(i)=\{a+b i \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. In this field, the inert primes are the primes $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
- Note that 5 is not prime in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ because $(1+2 i)(1-2 i)=5$. Similarly any prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ is not prime in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ since $p$ can be written as $a^{2}+b^{2}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $p=(a+b i)(a-b i)$.


## Characterization of inert primes in quadratic fields

- The discriminant $D$ of a quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is $d$ if $d \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 4)$ and $4 d$ otherwise.
- A prime $p$ is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ if and only if the Kronecker $\operatorname{symbol}(D / p)=-1$
- The Kronecker symbol is a generalization of the Legendre symbol
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## Characterization of inert primes in quadratic fields

- The discriminant $D$ of a quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is $d$ if $d \equiv 1$ (mod 4) and 4d otherwise.
- A prime $p$ is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ if and only if the Kronecker $\operatorname{symbol}(D / p)=-1$.
- The Kronecker symbol is a generalization of the Legendre symbol

$$
\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { if } a \text { is a square } \bmod p \\
-1 & \text { if } a \text { is a quadratic non-residue } \bmod p \\
0 & \text { if } p \mid a
\end{array}\right.
$$

## The least inert prime in a real quadratic field

## Theorem (Granville, Mollin and Williams, 2000)

For any positive fundamental discriminant $D>3705$, there is always at least one prime $p \leq \sqrt{D} / 2$ such that the Kronecker symbol $(D / p)=-1$.

Theorem (ET, 2010)
For any positive fundamental discriminant $D>1596$, there is always at least one prime $p \leq D^{0.45}$ such that the Kronecker symbol $(D / p)=-1$

## The least inert prime in a real quadratic field

## Theorem (Granville, Mollin and Williams, 2000)

For any positive fundamental discriminant $D>3705$, there is always at least one prime $p \leq \sqrt{D} / 2$ such that the Kronecker symbol $(D / p)=-1$.

## Theorem (ET, 2010)

For any positive fundamental discriminant $D>1596$, there is always at least one prime $p \leq D^{0.45}$ such that the Kronecker symbol $(D / p)=-1$.

## Elements of the Proof

- Use a computer to check the "small" cases. Granville, Mollin and Williams used the Manitoba Scalable Sieving Unit.
- Use analytic techniques to prove it for the "infinite case", i.e. the very large $D$. The tool used by Granville et al. was the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality. I used a "smoothed" version of it.
- Use Pólya-Vinogradov plus a bit of clever computing to fill in the gap.
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Dirichlet Characters

## Manitoba Scalable Sieving Unit



## Legendre Symbol

Let $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } a \equiv 0 \bmod p, \\ 1, & \text { if } a \text { is a square } \bmod p \\ -1, & \text { if } a \text { is a quadratic non-residue } \bmod p .\end{cases}$
$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ has the following important properties:

- $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{a+p}{p}\right)$ for all $a$.
- $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)\left(\frac{b}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{a b}{p}\right)$ for all $a, b$.
- $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \neq 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(a, p)=1$.


## Dirichlet Character

Let $n$ be a positive integer.
$\chi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a Dirichlet character $\bmod n$ if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- $\chi(a+n)=\chi(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- $\chi(a b)=\chi(a) \chi(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- $\chi(a) \neq 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$.

The Legendre symbol is an example of a Dirichlet character.

## A simple but powerful idea

Let $g(p)=m$ be the least quadratic non-residue modulo $p$.
Suppose $\chi(a)=\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ Then $\chi(n)=1$ for $n=1,2,3, \ldots, m-1$ and $\chi(m)=-1$. Therefore

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \chi(i)=m-2<m
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi(i)=k \text { for all } k<m
$$

Therefore bounding $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi(i)$ can give an upper bound for $g(p)$.

## Pólya-Vinogradov

Let $\chi$ be a Dirichlet character to the modulus $q>1$. Let

$$
S(\chi)=\max _{M, N}\left|\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \chi(n)\right|
$$

The Pólya-Vinogradov inequality (1918) states that there exists an absolute universal constant $c$ such that for any Dirichlet character $S(\chi) \leq c \sqrt{q} \log q$.

Under GRH, Montgomery and Vaughan showed that $S(\chi) \ll \sqrt{q} \log \log q$.
Paley showed in 1932 that there are infinitely many quadratic characters such that $S(\chi) \gg \sqrt{q} \log \log q$.

## Vinogradov's Trick: Showing $g(p) \ll p^{\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{e}}+\varepsilon}$

- Suppose $\sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n)=o(x)$.
- Let $y=x^{1 / \sqrt{e}+\delta}$ for some $\delta>0$. So
$\log \log x-\log \log y=\log (1 / \sqrt{e}+\delta)<1 / 2$
- Suppose $g(p)>y$.

$$
\sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n)=\sum_{n \leq x} 1-2 \sum_{\substack{y<q \leq x \\ \chi(q)=-1}} \sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{q}} 1
$$

where the sum ranges over $q$ prime. Therefore we have

$$
\sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \geq\lfloor x\rfloor-2 \sum_{y<q \leq x}\left\lfloor\frac{x}{q}\right\rfloor \geq x-1-2 x \sum_{y<q \leq x} \frac{1}{q}-2 \sum_{y<q \leq x} 1
$$

It took almost 50 years before the next breakthrough. It came from the following theorem of Burgess:

## Theorem (Burgess, 1962)

Let $\chi$ be a primitive character $\bmod q$, where $q>1, r$ is a positive integer and $\epsilon>0$ is a real number. Then

$$
\left|S_{\chi}(M, N)\right|=\left|\sum_{M<n \leq M+N} \chi(n)\right| \ll N^{1-\frac{1}{r}} q^{\frac{r+1}{r^{2}}+\epsilon}
$$

for $r=1,2,3$ and for any $r \geq 1$ if $q$ is cubefree, the implied constant depending only on $\epsilon$ and $r$.

## Consider

$$
\left|\sum_{n \leq N} \chi(n)\right|
$$

## By Burgess

$$
\left|\sum_{n \leq N} \chi(n)\right| \ll N^{1-\frac{1}{r}} q^{\frac{r+1}{4 r^{2}}+\epsilon} .
$$

However, if $\chi(n)=1$ for all $n \leq N$, then

$$
N \leq\left|\sum_{n \leq N} \chi(n)\right| \ll N^{1-\frac{1}{r}} q^{\frac{r+1}{4 r^{2}}+\epsilon},
$$

so

$$
N^{\frac{1}{r}} \ll q^{\frac{r+1}{4 r^{2}}+\epsilon}
$$

Hence

$$
N \ll q^{\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4 r}+\epsilon r} .
$$

Now we know why

$$
g(p) \ll p^{\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{e}}+\varepsilon}
$$

but how do we go from there to be able to figure out the theorem:

## Theorem (ET 2015)

Let $g(p)$ be the least quadratic non-residue $\bmod p$. Let $p$ be a prime greater than $10^{4732}$, then $g(p)<p^{1 / 6}$.

## Explicit Burgess

## Theorem (Iwaniec-Kowalski-Friedlander)

Let $\chi$ be a non-principal Dirichlet character mod $p$ (a prime). Let $M$ and $N$ be non-negative integers with $N \geq 1$ and let $r \geq 2$, then

$$
\left|S_{\chi}(M, N)\right| \leq 30 \cdot N^{1-\frac{1}{r}} p^{\frac{r+1}{4 r^{2}}}(\log p)^{\frac{1}{r}}
$$

## Theorem (ET)

Let $p$ be a prime. Let $\chi$ be a non-principal Dirichlet character mod p. Let $M$ and $N$ be non-negative integers with $N \geq 1$ and let $r$ be a positive integer. Then for $p \geq 10^{7}$, we have
$\left|S_{\chi}(M, N)\right| \leq 2.74 N^{1-\frac{1}{r}} p^{\frac{r+1}{4 r^{2}}}(\log p)^{\frac{1}{7}}$

## Explicit Burgess
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## Some Applications of the Explicit Estimates

- Booker (2006) computed the class number of a 32-digit discriminant using an explicit estimate of a character sum.
- McGown proved (2012) that there is no norm-Euclidean cubic field with discriminant $>10^{140}$. Recently (2017) improved to no Norm-Euclidean fields with discriminant $10^{100}$
- Levin, Pomerance and Soundararajan proved a conjecture of Brizolis that for every prime $p>3$ there is a primitive root $g$ and an integer $x \in[1, p-1]$ with $\log _{g} x=x$, that is, $g^{x} \equiv x(\bmod p)$.
- Explicit bound on the least prime primitive root done by Cohen, Oliveira e Silva and Trudgian (2016).
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## Key Inequality to prove Burgess Inequality

## Theorem (Burgess, Booker, ET)

Let $h$ and $w$ be positive integers. Let $\chi$ be a primitive Dirichlet character mod $p$, then

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{p}\left|\sum_{l=0}^{h-1} \chi(m+l)\right|^{2 w}<(2 w-1)!!p h^{w}+(2 w-1) \sqrt{p} h^{2 w}
$$

## Sketch of a Proof

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{p}\left|\sum_{l=0}^{h-1} \chi(m+l)\right|^{2 w}=\sum_{l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{2 w} x \bmod p} \sum_{p(q(x)), ~} \chi\left({ }^{2 w}\right.
$$

where

$$
q(x)=\frac{\left(x+I_{1}\right)\left(x+I_{2}\right) \ldots\left(x+I_{w}\right)}{\left(x+I_{w+1}\right)\left(x+I_{w+2}\right) \ldots\left(x+I_{2 w}\right)}
$$

- If $q(x)$ is not a $k$-th power (where $k$ is the order of $\chi$ ), then
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$$
q(x)=\frac{\left(x+I_{1}\right)\left(x+I_{2}\right) \ldots\left(x+I_{w}\right)}{\left(x+I_{w+1}\right)\left(x+I_{w+2}\right) \ldots\left(x+I_{2 w}\right)}
$$

- If $q(x)$ is not a $k$-th power (where $k$ is the order of $\chi$ ), then

$$
\left|\sum_{x \bmod p} \chi(q(x))\right| \leq(r-1) \sqrt{p}
$$

where $r$ is the number of distinct roots of $q(x)$.

## Applications

## Theorem (ET 2015)

Let $p>3$ be a prime and $k$ be a positive integer that divides $p-1$. Let $g(p, k)$ be the least $k$-th power non-residue mod $p$. Then

$$
g(p, k) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1.1 p^{1 / 4} \log p & \text { if } p \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \text { and } k=2 \\
0.9 p^{1 / 4} \log p & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem (ET 2012)
If $\chi$ is any non-principal Dirichlet character to the prime modulus $p$ which is constant on ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{H}$ ], then
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Let $p>3$ be a prime and $k$ be a positive integer that divides $p-1$. Let $g(p, k)$ be the least $k$-th power non-residue modp. Then

$$
g(p, k) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1.1 p^{1 / 4} \log p & \text { if } p \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \text { and } k=2 \\
0.9 p^{1 / 4} \log p & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Theorem (ET 2012)

If $\chi$ is any non-principal Dirichlet character to the prime modulus $p$ which is constant on ( $N, N+H$ ], then

$$
H \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
3.64 p^{1 / 4} \log p, & \text { for all odd } p \\
1.55 p^{1 / 4} \log p, & \text { for } p \geq 2.5 \cdot 10^{9}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Thank you!

