

An almost-always measure of the failure of unique factorization

Enrique Treviño
(joint work with Paul Pollack)

Elmhurst University Mathematics/CSIS/Physics Seminar Series
October 21, 2026



LAKE FOREST
COLLEGE

Unique Factorization

- Let R be an integral domain (a ring that satisfies that $ab = 0$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$).
- An element $u \in R$ is a unit if $u^{-1} \in R$.
- A nonzero element $r \in R$ is **irreducible** if r is not a unit and $r = ab$ implies a or b is a unit.
- We say R is a **Unique Factorization Domain** if any nonzero, nonunit element $r \in R$ can be factored as a product of irreducibles, and if

$$\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_k = r = \rho_1 \rho_2 \cdots \rho_m,$$

with π_i, ρ_j irreducible in R , then

- (a) $k = m$, and
- (b) for some permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and some units $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_k \in R$, we have $\pi_{\sigma(i)} = \epsilon_i \rho_i$ for all i .

Examples of Unique Factorization Domains

- The set of integers: $\mathbb{Z}$.
- The set of polynomials with integer coefficients: $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.
- The Gaussian integers:

$$\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Non-examples of Unique Factorization Domains

- $$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{a + b\sqrt{-5} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

because

$$2 \cdot 3 = 6 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5}).$$

- $$\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1 + \sqrt{-23}}{2}\right] = \left\{\frac{a}{2} + \frac{b}{2}\sqrt{-23} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } a \equiv b \pmod{2}\right\},$$

because

$$2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 8 = \left(-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-23}\right) \left(-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-23}\right).$$

Algebraic Numbers and Algebraic Integers

Definition

A complex number α is algebraic if there exists a polynomial $p(x)$ with integer coefficients such that $p(\alpha) = 0$.

Definition

A complex number α is an **algebraic integer** if there exists a monic polynomial $p(x)$ with integer coefficients such that $p(\alpha) = 0$.

- $i, \sqrt{2}$ are algebraic integers because they are roots of $x^2 + 1$ and $x^2 - 2$, respectively.
- $\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}$ is an algebraic integer because it is a root of $x^2 + x + 1$.
- $\frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2}$ is algebraic but not an algebraic integer, because its minimal polynomial is $4x^2 + 3$.

Some Notation and some Properties

- The set of algebraic integers is denoted by $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ and it is a ring.
- The set of algebraic numbers is denoted by $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ and it is a ring.
- $\mathbb{Q} \cap \bar{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$.
- A field K is a number field if it is a finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.
- In general, for a given number field K , its ring of integers is the intersection of the elements of K with the set of algebraic integers.

$$\mathcal{O}_K = K \cap \bar{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Rings of Integers

Every number field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, has a ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K = K \cap \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$.

- The ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$.
- The ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ is $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.
- The ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$.
- The ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$ is $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-23}}{2}\right]$.

Class Group and Class Number

For any ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of a number field K , the quotient group of the group of fractional ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ over the group of principal fractional ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ is called the **Class group** of $\mathcal{O}_K$. The **Class number** is the number of elements of the class group.

Number Field	Ring of Integers	Class Group	Class Number
$\mathbb{Q}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	1
$\mathbb{Q}(i)$	$\mathbb{Z}[i]$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	1
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$	$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	2
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$	$\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-23}}{2}\right]$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	3

Classification of Unique Factorization Domains

Theorem

A ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of a number field K is a Unique Factorization Domain if and only if, it has class number 1.

Number Field	Ring of Integers	Class Group	Class Number
$\mathbb{Q}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	1
$\mathbb{Q}(i)$	$\mathbb{Z}[i]$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	1
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$	$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	2
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$	$\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-23}}{2}\right]$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	3

Half-Factorial Domains

Definition

We say an integral domain R is a **Unique Factorization Domain** if any nonzero, nonunit element $r \in R$ can be factored as a product of irreducibles, and if

$$\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_k = r = \rho_1 \rho_2 \cdots \rho_m,$$

with π_i, ρ_j irreducible in R , then

- 1 $k = m$, and
- 2 for some permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, and some units $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_k \in R$, we have $\pi_{\sigma(i)} = \epsilon_i \rho_i$ for all i .

Definition

R is a **half-factorial domain** if it satisfies the first condition but not necessarily the second, i.e., if every factorization of an element $\alpha \in R$ into irreducibles has the same length.

Classification of Half-Factorial Domains

Theorem (Carlitz)

A ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of a number field K is a Half-Factorial Domain if and only if, it has class number ≤ 2 .

In particular, while $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ is not a unique factorization domain, since its class group is $\mathbb{Z}_2$, then it is a half-factorial domain. So all factorizations of an element in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ have the same length!

Elasticity of an Element

Definition

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ be nonzero and not a unit. Consider the set of lengths of factorizations of α , i.e.,

$$L = \{\ell \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there exist irreducibles } \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_\ell \in \mathcal{O}_K \\ \text{such that } \rho_1 \rho_2 \cdots \rho_\ell = \alpha\}.$$

Then the **elasticity** of α is

$$\rho(\alpha) = \frac{\max L}{\min L}.$$

For example, the elasticity of 8 in $\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-23}}{2} \right]$ is $3/2$ because the longest way to factor 8 is $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$ and the shortest way is

$$\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-23} \right) \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-23} \right).$$

Elasticity of a Ring of Integers

Definition

The elasticity of a ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ is

$$\rho(\mathcal{O}_K) = \sup_{\alpha} \rho(\alpha).$$

- The elasticity is 1 if and only if the ring of integers is a half-factorial domain.

Davenport Constant

Definition

Let G be a finite abelian group with operation $+$. Then, there exists a smallest positive integer $D(G)$ such that every sequence $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{D(G)}$ of elements of G contains a non-empty subsequence whose sum is the identity.

Examples:

- The Davenport constant for a cyclic group of order n is n .
- The Davenport constant for $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is 3. That is because
 - If one term is $(0, 0)$, we are done.
 - If two terms are equal, their sum is $(0, 0)$.
 - Otherwise, the three nonzero elements sum to $(0, 0)$.

Theorem of Elasticity

Theorem (Narkiewicz, Steffan, Valenza)

Whenever $\mathcal{O}_K$ is not a unique factorization domain, we have

$$\rho(\mathcal{O}_K) = \frac{1}{2}D(G),$$

where G is the class group of $\mathcal{O}_K$ and $D(G)$ is its Davenport constant.

- From this theorem, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a half-factorial domain if and only if its class number is ≤ 2 . (Because when the class group has more than 2 elements, its Davenport constant is bigger than 2, so the elasticity is bigger than 1).
- This shows that $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-23}}{2}\right]$ has elasticity $\frac{3}{2}$, because for this ring, the class group is $\mathbb{Z}_3$, so its Davenport constant is 3.

Distribution of values

- Consider $\rho(\alpha)$ as α ranges over the nonzero elements of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Suppose $\mathcal{O}_K$ is not a unique factorization domain. Let G be the class group of $\mathcal{O}_K$.

- We know

$$1 \leq \rho(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{2}D(G) = \rho(\mathcal{O}_K).$$

- We know that every rational in $[1, \rho(\mathcal{O}_K)]$ is attained by some $\rho(\alpha)$ (Baginski, Chapman, Crutchfield, Grace Kennedy and Wright, 2006).
- What about more statistical properties? Is there a mean value? Is there a typical value?

Theorem (Narkiewicz and Śliwa, 1977)

Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers of number field K . There exists a constant ρ_{typ} such that almost all non-unit elements have elasticity around ρ_{typ} , i.e., for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \mid N(\alpha) \leq x \text{ and } \rho_{\text{typ}} - \varepsilon < \rho(\alpha) < \rho_{\text{typ}} + \varepsilon\}}{\#\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times \mid N(\alpha) \leq x\}} = 1.$$

Similar results were obtained independently by Allen and Pleasants in 1980.

For example, in $\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-23}}{2} \right]$, the typical value for $\rho(\alpha)$ is $\frac{6}{5}$.

The smallest positive integer with elasticity $6/5$ in $\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-23}}{2} \right]$ is 160. Indeed,

$$160 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 = \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-23} \right) \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-23} \right) \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5.$$

With the interest of calculating ρ_{typ} , we are going to introduce a game on additive groups G . We call this game *G -solitaire*.


Suppose G is a finite abelian group with n elements.

Rules of the Game

- We have X chips on each element of G . For simplicity, we'll assume X is an even integer.
- A move consists of grabbing chips from $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k \in G$ (not necessarily distinct) in such a way that $g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_k = 0$ and for any proper subsequence of $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$, the sum is not 0.
- The goal is to clear the chips with the least number of moves. We call the minimum number of moves $\Sigma(G; X)$.

$\mathbb{Z}_3$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_3$.
- We have X chips on 0, X chips on 1, X chips on 2. For simplicity, assume $3 \mid X$.



Start: 3 chips on each element of $\mathbb{Z}_3$.

$\mathbb{Z}_3$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_3$.
- We have X chips on 0, X chips on 1, X chips on 2. For simplicity, assume $3 \mid X$.



Move 1: The chips on zero can only be removed one at a time. So we will need X moves.

$\mathbb{Z}_3$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_3$.
- We have X chips on 0, X chips on 1, X chips on 2. For simplicity, assume $3 \mid X$.



Move 1: The chips on zero can only be removed one at a time. So we will need X moves.

$\mathbb{Z}_3$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_3$.
- We have X chips on 0, X chips on 1, X chips on 2. For simplicity, assume $3 \mid X$.



Move 1: The chips on zero can only be removed one at a time. So we will need X moves.

$\mathbb{Z}_3$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_3$.
- We have X chips on 0, X chips on 1, X chips on 2. For simplicity, assume $3 \mid X$.



Move 2: We can clear 1s either by making a move of the form $1 + 2$ or $1 + 1 + 1$. Clearly the latter will help minimize the number of moves. We can clear in $X/3$ moves.

$\mathbb{Z}_3$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_3$.
- We have X chips on 0, X chips on 1, X chips on 2. For simplicity, assume $3 \mid X$.



Move 3: We can clear 2s either by making a move of the form $1 + 2$ or $2 + 2 + 2$. Clearly the latter will help minimize the number of moves. We can clear in $X/3$ moves.

$\mathbb{Z}_3$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_3$.
- We have X chips on 0, X chips on 1, X chips on 2. For simplicity, assume $3 \mid X$.



$$\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_3; 3) = 3 + 1 + 1 = 5.$$

$\mathbb{Z}_3$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_3$.
- We have X chips on 0, X chips on 1, X chips on 2. For simplicity, assume $3 \mid X$.




$$\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_3; X) = X + \frac{X}{3} + \frac{X}{3} = \frac{5}{3}X.$$


$\mathbb{Z}_3$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_3$.
- We have X chips on 0, X chips on 1, X chips on 2. For simplicity, assume $3 \mid X$.


0



1




2



$$\text{Clr}(\mathbb{Z}_3) = \frac{5}{3}.$$

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Start: 12 chips on each element of $\mathbb{Z}_6$.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Zero move 1 of 12: Remove one chip using the singleton zero-sum move (0).

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Zero move 2 of 12: Remove one chip using the singleton zero-sum move (0).

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Zero move 3 of 12: Remove one chip using the singleton zero-sum move (0).

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Zero move 4 of 12: Remove one chip using the singleton zero-sum move (0).

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Zero move 5 of 12: Remove one chip using the singleton zero-sum move (0).

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Zero move 6 of 12: Remove one chip using the singleton zero-sum move (0).

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Zero move 7 of 12: Remove one chip using the singleton zero-sum move (0).

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Zero move 8 of 12: Remove one chip using the singleton zero-sum move (0).

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Zero move 9 of 12: Remove one chip using the singleton zero-sum move (0).

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Zero move 10 of 12: Remove one chip using the singleton zero-sum move (0).

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Zero move 11 of 12: Remove one chip using the singleton zero-sum move (0).

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



Zero move 12 of 12: Remove one chip using the singleton zero-sum move (0).

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move $2 + 2 + 3 + 5$, repetition 1 of 6:

$$2 + 2 + 3 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

Remove two chips from 2, one from 3, and one from 5.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move $2 + 2 + 3 + 5$, repetition 2 of 6:

$$2 + 2 + 3 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

Remove two chips from 2, one from 3, and one from 5.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move $2 + 2 + 3 + 5$, repetition 3 of 6:

$$2 + 2 + 3 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

Remove two chips from 2, one from 3, and one from 5.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move $2 + 2 + 3 + 5$, repetition 4 of 6:

$$2 + 2 + 3 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

Remove two chips from 2, one from 3, and one from 5.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move $2 + 2 + 3 + 5$, repetition 5 of 6:

$$2 + 2 + 3 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

Remove two chips from 2, one from 3, and one from 5.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move $2 + 2 + 3 + 5$, repetition 6 of 6:

$$2 + 2 + 3 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

Remove two chips from 2, one from 3, and one from 5.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move $4 + 4 + 3 + 1$, repetition 1 of 6:

$$4 + 4 + 3 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

Remove two chips from 4, one from 3, and one from 1.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move $4 + 4 + 3 + 1$, repetition 2 of 6:

$$4 + 4 + 3 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

Remove two chips from 4, one from 3, and one from 1.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move $4 + 4 + 3 + 1$, repetition 3 of 6:

$$4 + 4 + 3 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

Remove two chips from 4, one from 3, and one from 1.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move $4 + 4 + 3 + 1$, repetition 4 of 6:

$$4 + 4 + 3 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

Remove two chips from 4, one from 3, and one from 1.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move $4 + 4 + 3 + 1$, repetition 5 of 6:

$$4 + 4 + 3 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

Remove two chips from 4, one from 3, and one from 1.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move $4 + 4 + 3 + 1$, repetition 6 of 6:

$$4 + 4 + 3 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

Remove two chips from 4, one from 3, and one from 1.

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move 25: Clear the six remaining chips at 1 in one move:

$$1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.




Move 26: Clear the six remaining chips at 5 in one move:

$$5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}.$$

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



$$\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_6; 12) = 12 + 6 + 6 + 1 + 1 = 26.$$

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire


- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



$$\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}_6; X) = X + \frac{X}{2} + \frac{X}{2} + \frac{X}{12} + \frac{X}{12} = \frac{13}{6}X.$$

$\mathbb{Z}_6$ -solitaire

- Consider the game on $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We use $X = 12$ chips on each element so every move count is integral.



$$\text{Clr}(\mathbb{Z}_6) = \frac{13}{6}.$$

Defining the Clearing constant

It's not hard to show that the minimum number of moves, $\Sigma(G; X)$, in G -solitaire is asymptotic to a constant multiple of X , so we can define the clearing number $\text{Clr}(G)$ to be

$$\text{Clr}(G) = \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Sigma(G; X)}{X}.$$

We've shown

$$\text{Clr}(\mathbb{Z}_3) = \frac{5}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Clr}(\mathbb{Z}_6) = \frac{13}{6}.$$

- Allen and Pleasants, in 1980, found formulas for $\text{Clr}((\mathbb{Z}_{p^r})^s)$, where p is prime and r, s are positive integers. They also found $\text{Clr}(\mathbb{Z}_6)$ and $\text{Clr}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4)$.

Connecting the clearing constant to typical elasticities

The following theorem connects the dots between our G -solitaire game and the typical elasticity of a ring of integers.

Theorem

Let K be a number field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let G be the class group of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Then the typical elasticity, ρ_{typ} of $\mathcal{O}_K$ is

$$\rho_{\text{typ}} = \frac{1 + |G|}{2\text{Clr}(G)}.$$

Example: Since $\mathbb{Z}_3$ is the class group of $\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-23}}{2} \right]$, then the typical elasticity in $\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-23}}{2} \right]$ is

$$\rho_{\text{typ}} = \frac{1 + 3}{2 \cdot \frac{5}{3}} = \frac{6}{5}.$$

Updating our Example Table

Field	Ring	Cl G	Cl #	Clr(G)	$\rho(\mathcal{O}_K)$	ρ_{typ}
$\mathbb{Q}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{Q}(i)$	$\mathbb{Z}[i]$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$	$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	2	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	1
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$	$\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-23}}{2}\right]$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	3	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{6}{5}$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-26})$	$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-26}]$	$\mathbb{Z}_6$	6	$\frac{13}{6}$	3	$\frac{21}{13}$

Clearing constants known before our work

As mentioned before, for some small groups, the clearing constant was known, and also for groups of the form $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^r})^s$. The known clearing constants (due to Allen and Pleasants) are:

$$\text{Clr}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4) = \frac{8}{3}.$$

$$\text{Clr}(\mathbb{Z}_6) = \frac{13}{6}.$$

$$\text{Clr}\left((\mathbb{Z}_{p^r})^s\right) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{p^{rs}(1-p^{-s})}{s(p^r-1)+1}r & s = 1 \\ 1 + \frac{p^{rs}(1-p^{-s})}{s(p^r-1)+1} \cdot \frac{1-p^{-(s-1)r}}{1-p^{-(s-1)}} & s > 1 \end{cases}.$$

Clearing Constants Due to us

Theorem

For every prime p ,

$$\text{Clr}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}) = 1 + \frac{2p^2 - p - 1}{2p - 1}.$$

For example, in the case of $p = 2$ we recover the one Allen and Pleasants calculated: $\text{Clr}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4) = \frac{8}{3}$.

Theorem

For every pair of distinct primes p and q ,

$$\text{Clr}(\mathbb{Z}_{pq}) = 3 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}.$$

For example, in the case of $p = 2$ and $q = 3$ we recover the one Allen and Pleasants calculated: $\text{Clr}(\mathbb{Z}_6) = \frac{13}{6}$.

Funny Example

- Consider the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35008251})$.
- Its ring of integers is $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-35008251}}{2}\right]$.
- Its class group is $\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$.
- Its Davenport constant is 2026, so the elasticity of the ring of integers is $2026/2 = 1013$.
- Using our theorem, we can calculate that the clearing constant of $\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$ is

$$3 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{1013} = \frac{5063}{2026}.$$

- Therefore, the typical elasticity in this ring of integers is

$$\frac{1 + 2026}{2 \cdot \frac{5063}{2026}} = \frac{2053351}{5063}.$$

Funny Example

- Consider the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35008251})$.
- Its ring of integers is $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-35008251}}{2}\right]$.
- Its class group is $\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$.
- Its Davenport constant is 2026, so the elasticity of the ring of integers is $2026/2 = 1013$.
- Using our theorem, we can calculate that the clearing constant of $\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$ is

$$3 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{1013} = \frac{5063}{2026}.$$

- Therefore, the typical elasticity in this ring of integers is

$$\frac{1 + 2026}{2 \cdot \frac{5063}{2026}} = \frac{2053351}{5063}.$$

Funny Example

- Consider the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35008251})$.
- Its ring of integers is $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-35008251}}{2}\right]$.
- Its class group is $\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$.
- Its Davenport constant is 2026, so the elasticity of the ring of integers is $2026/2 = 1013$.
- Using our theorem, we can calculate that the clearing constant of $\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$ is

$$3 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{1013} = \frac{5063}{2026}.$$

- Therefore, the typical elasticity in this ring of integers is

$$\frac{1 + 2026}{2 \cdot \frac{5063}{2026}} = \frac{2053351}{5063}.$$

Funny Example

- Consider the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35008251})$.
- Its ring of integers is $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-35008251}}{2}\right]$.
- Its class group is $\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$.
- Its Davenport constant is 2026, so the elasticity of the ring of integers is $2026/2 = 1013$.
- Using our theorem, we can calculate that the clearing constant of $\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$ is

$$3 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{1013} = \frac{5063}{2026}.$$

- Therefore, the typical elasticity in this ring of integers is

$$\frac{1 + 2026}{2 \cdot \frac{5063}{2026}} = \frac{2053351}{5063}.$$

Funny Example

- Consider the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35008251})$.
- Its ring of integers is $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-35008251}}{2}\right]$.
- Its class group is $\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$.
- Its Davenport constant is 2026, so the elasticity of the ring of integers is $2026/2 = 1013$.
- Using our theorem, we can calculate that the clearing constant of $\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$ is

$$3 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{1013} = \frac{5063}{2026}.$$

- Therefore, the typical elasticity in this ring of integers is

$$\frac{1 + 2026}{2 \cdot \frac{5063}{2026}} = \frac{2053351}{5063}.$$

Funny Example

- Consider the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35008251})$.
- Its ring of integers is $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-35008251}}{2}\right]$.
- Its class group is $\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$.
- Its Davenport constant is 2026, so the elasticity of the ring of integers is $2026/2 = 1013$.
- Using our theorem, we can calculate that the clearing constant of $\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$ is

$$3 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{1013} = \frac{5063}{2026}.$$

- Therefore, the typical elasticity in this ring of integers is

$$\frac{1 + 2026}{2 \cdot \frac{5063}{2026}} = \frac{2053351}{5063}.$$

Illustrating some ideas for the proof regarding $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$

- Let's try to find the clearing constant for $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We already showed before that there's a construction to get an upper bound of $\frac{13}{6}$. Now we need to show that it is also a lower bound.
- Define the cross-number function κ as follows:

g	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\kappa(g)$	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$

- For a list of numbers S , let

$$\kappa(S) = \sum_{s \in S} \kappa(s).$$

- For example, the move $2 + 2 + 3 + 5$ would be $S = \{2, 2, 3, 5\}$ and

$$\kappa(S) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

Illustrating some ideas for the proof regarding $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$

- Let's try to find the clearing constant for $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We already showed before that there's a construction to get an upper bound of $\frac{13}{6}$. Now we need to show that it is also a lower bound.
- Define the cross-number function κ as follows:

g	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\kappa(g)$	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$

- For a list of numbers S , let

$$\kappa(S) = \sum_{s \in S} \kappa(s).$$

- For example, the move $2 + 2 + 3 + 5$ would be $S = \{2, 2, 3, 5\}$ and

$$\kappa(S) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

Illustrating some ideas for the proof regarding $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$

- Let's try to find the clearing constant for $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We already showed before that there's a construction to get an upper bound of $\frac{13}{6}$. Now we need to show that it is also a lower bound.
- Define the cross-number function κ as follows:

g	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\kappa(g)$	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$

- For a list of numbers S , let

$$\kappa(S) = \sum_{s \in S} \kappa(s).$$

- For example, the move $2 + 2 + 3 + 5$ would be $S = \{2, 2, 3, 5\}$ and

$$\kappa(S) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

Illustrating some ideas for the proof regarding $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$

- Let's try to find the clearing constant for $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We already showed before that there's a construction to get an upper bound of $\frac{13}{6}$. Now we need to show that it is also a lower bound.
- Define the cross-number function κ as follows:

g	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\kappa(g)$	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$

- For a list of numbers S , let

$$\kappa(S) = \sum_{s \in S} \kappa(s).$$

- For example, the move $2 + 2 + 3 + 5$ would be $S = \{2, 2, 3, 5\}$ and

$$\kappa(S) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

Illustrating some ideas for the proof regarding $\mathbb{Z}_{pq}$

- Let's try to find the clearing constant for $\mathbb{Z}_6$.
- We already showed before that there's a construction to get an upper bound of $\frac{13}{6}$. Now we need to show that it is also a lower bound.
- Define the cross-number function κ as follows:

g	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\kappa(g)$	1	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$

- For a list of numbers S , let

$$\kappa(S) = \sum_{s \in S} \kappa(s).$$

- For example, the move $2 + 2 + 3 + 5$ would be $S = \{2, 2, 3, 5\}$ and

$$\kappa(S) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

Proof continuation

- Suppose S is a sequence for a possible move in G -solitaire that contains the number 3.
 - If $S = \{3, 3\}$, then $\kappa(S) = 1$, so suppose $S \neq \{3, 3\}$.
 - Then S only contains one 3.
 - It's easy to show that the most even numbers S can contain is 2 so

$$\kappa(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

- Suppose S does not contain 3.
 - By parity, the number of units is even.
 - Any two units can be replaced with an even number without changing the value of κ .
 - The number of even numbers is at most 3.
 - Then $\kappa(S) \leq 1$

Proof continuation

- Suppose S is a sequence for a possible move in G -solitaire that contains the number 3.
 - If $S = \{3, 3\}$, then $\kappa(S) = 1$, so suppose $S \neq \{3, 3\}$.
 - Then S only contains one 3.
 - It's easy to show that the most even numbers S can contain is 2 so

$$\kappa(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

- Suppose S does not contain 3.
 - By parity, the number of units is even.
 - Any two units can be replaced with an even number without changing the value of κ .
 - The number of even numbers is at most 3.
 - Then $\kappa(S) \leq 1$

Proof continuation

- Suppose S is a sequence for a possible move in G -solitaire that contains the number 3.
 - If $S = \{3, 3\}$, then $\kappa(S) = 1$, so suppose $S \neq \{3, 3\}$.
 - Then S only contains one 3.
 - It's easy to show that the most even numbers S can contain is 2 so

$$\kappa(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

- Suppose S does not contain 3.
 - By parity, the number of units is even.
 - Any two units can be replaced with an even number without changing the value of κ .
 - The number of even numbers is at most 3.
 - Then $\kappa(S) \leq 1$

Proof continuation

- Suppose S is a sequence for a possible move in G -solitaire that contains the number 3.
 - If $S = \{3, 3\}$, then $\kappa(S) = 1$, so suppose $S \neq \{3, 3\}$.
 - Then S only contains one 3.
 - It's easy to show that the most even numbers S can contain is 2 so

$$\kappa(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

- Suppose S does not contain 3.
 - By parity, the number of units is even.
 - Any two units can be replaced with an even number without changing the value of κ .
 - The number of even numbers is at most 3.
 - Then $\kappa(S) \leq 1$.

Proof continuation

- Suppose S is a sequence for a possible move in G -solitaire that contains the number 3.
 - If $S = \{3, 3\}$, then $\kappa(S) = 1$, so suppose $S \neq \{3, 3\}$.
 - Then S only contains one 3.
 - It's easy to show that the most even numbers S can contain is 2 so

$$\kappa(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

- Suppose S does not contain 3.
 - By parity, the number of units is even.
 - Any two units can be replaced with an even number without changing the value of κ .
 - The number of even numbers is at most 3.
 - Then $\kappa(S) \leq 1$

Proof continuation

- Suppose S is a sequence for a possible move in G -solitaire that contains the number 3.
 - If $S = \{3, 3\}$, then $\kappa(S) = 1$, so suppose $S \neq \{3, 3\}$.
 - Then S only contains one 3.
 - It's easy to show that the most even numbers S can contain is 2 so

$$\kappa(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

- Suppose S does not contain 3.
 - By parity, the number of units is even.
 - Any two units can be replaced with an even number without changing the value of κ .
 - The number of even numbers is at most 3.
 - Then $\kappa(S) \leq 1$

Proof continuation

- Suppose S is a sequence for a possible move in G -solitaire that contains the number 3.
 - If $S = \{3, 3\}$, then $\kappa(S) = 1$, so suppose $S \neq \{3, 3\}$.
 - Then S only contains one 3.
 - It's easy to show that the most even numbers S can contain is 2 so

$$\kappa(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

- Suppose S does not contain 3.
 - By parity, the number of units is even.
 - Any two units can be replaced with an even number without changing the value of κ .
 - The number of even numbers is at most 3.
 - Then $\kappa(S) \leq 1$

Proof continuation

- Suppose S is a sequence for a possible move in G -solitaire that contains the number 3.
 - If $S = \{3, 3\}$, then $\kappa(S) = 1$, so suppose $S \neq \{3, 3\}$.
 - Then S only contains one 3.
 - It's easy to show that the most even numbers S can contain is 2 so

$$\kappa(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

- Suppose S does not contain 3.
 - By parity, the number of units is even.
 - Any two units can be replaced with an even number without changing the value of κ .
 - The number of even numbers is at most 3.
 - Then $\kappa(S) \leq 1$

Proof continuation

- Suppose S is a sequence for a possible move in G -solitaire that contains the number 3.
 - If $S = \{3, 3\}$, then $\kappa(S) = 1$, so suppose $S \neq \{3, 3\}$.
 - Then S only contains one 3.
 - It's easy to show that the most even numbers S can contain is 2 so

$$\kappa(S) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4}{3}.$$

- Suppose S does not contain 3.
 - By parity, the number of units is even.
 - Any two units can be replaced with an even number without changing the value of κ .
 - The number of even numbers is at most 3.
 - Then $\kappa(S) \leq 1$

Proof continuation

- If we consider the sequence of all chips, the total cross number is

$$X \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{6} \right) = \frac{5}{2}X.$$

- We can make at most X moves S satisfying $\kappa(S) = \frac{4}{3}$ (because we only have X 3's), every other move is at best $\kappa(S) = 1$.
- Therefore to reduce the *charge* $\frac{5}{2}X$ we need at least

$$X + \left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{4}{3} \right) X = \frac{13}{6}X \text{ moves.}$$

Proof continuation

- If we consider the sequence of all chips, the total cross number is

$$X \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{6} \right) = \frac{5}{2}X.$$

- We can make at most X moves S satisfying $\kappa(S) = \frac{4}{3}$ (because we only have X 3's), every other move is at best $\kappa(S) = 1$.
- Therefore to reduce the *charge* $\frac{5}{2}X$ we need at least

$$X + \left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{4}{3} \right) X = \frac{13}{6}X \text{ moves.}$$

Proof continuation

- If we consider the sequence of all chips, the total cross number is

$$X \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{6} \right) = \frac{5}{2}X.$$

- We can make at most X moves S satisfying $\kappa(S) = \frac{4}{3}$ (because we only have X 3's), every other move is at best $\kappa(S) = 1$.
- Therefore to reduce the *charge* $\frac{5}{2}X$ we need at least

$$X + \left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{4}{3} \right) X = \frac{13}{6}X \text{ moves.}$$

Final Slide!

Field	Ring	Cl G	Cl #	Clr(G)	$\rho(\mathcal{O}_K)$	ρ_{typ}
$\mathbb{Q}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{Q}(i)$	$\mathbb{Z}[i]$	$\mathbb{Z}_1$	1	1	1	1
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$	$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	2	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	1
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$	$\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-23}}{2} \right]$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	3	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{6}{5}$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-26})$	$\mathbb{Z} [\sqrt{-26}]$	$\mathbb{Z}_6$	6	$\frac{13}{6}$	3	$\frac{21}{13}$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35008251})$	$\mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-35008251}}{2} \right]$	$\mathbb{Z}_{2026}$	2026	$\frac{5063}{2026}$	1013	$\frac{2053351}{5063}$

Thank you!